## WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

		V.			
Alesio Luna-Flores		llesio Luna-Flores	Case Number:	13-7268M	
was pre risk and	sent and order th	d was represented by counsel. I concl ne detention of the defendant pending	ude by a preponderance of the evid	een submitted to the Court. Defendant ence the defendant is a serious flight	
I find by		onderance of the evidence that:			
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.				
		The defendant, at the time of the cha	harged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
		The defendant has no significant con	dant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	X	The defendant has a prior criminal hi	story.		
		The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
		The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Ariz	ona or in the United States and has	
		There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.		
		The defendant attempted to evade la	w enforcement contact by fleeing from	om law enforcement.	
		The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imp	orisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.					
		C	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
	1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defended no condition or combination of condition of condition of condition of condition of conditions are conditionally as a serious risk that the defended not condition of conditions are conditionally as a serious risk that the defended not condition of conditions are conditionally as a serious risk that the defended not condition of conditions are conditionally as a serious risk that the defended not condition of conditi		earance of the defendant as required.	
		DIRECTION	ONS REGARDING DETENTION		
in a corr pending order of facility s	ections appeal a court hall deli	facility separate, to the extent practical. The defendant shall be afforded a rest of the United States or on request of ever the defendant to the United States	able, from persons awaiting or serving easonable opportunity for private core an attorney for the Government, the Marshal for the purpose of an appe	person in charge of the corrections	
proceed	Ū		S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
to delive District ( from the	er a copy Court. For date of the contract of t	y of the motion for review/reconsidera Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.I service of a copy of this order or afte the district court. Failure to timely file	tion to Pretrial Services at least one P., effective December 1, 2009, Defor the oral order is stated on the reco	rd within which to file specific written	
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.					
DATE:	Jul	y 19, 2013	Bridge	- & bade	

Bridget S. Bade
United States Magistrate Judge